Second Supplemental

Appendix B

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-041A	AA-NEA-	AA-NEA-	Presentation titled	American moves to	As explained in	None	The redactions
	01459671	01459747	2Q20 BOD	redact from the	Section II.C. and		on this document
			Meeting, Robert's	Board presentation	II.D. of the Motion,		were sealed as
			Slides, dated July	information	disclosure of granular		part of Appendix
			21, 2020	regarding customer	financial data and		B of the Motion
				names and granular	customer names will		to Seal.
				financial data.	harm American's		
					competitive standing.		
					The customer also		
					has a privacy interest		
					against disclosure in		
					this trial.		
DX-041B	AA-NEA-	AA-NEA-	Presentation titled	American moves to	As explained in	None	American has
	01459748	01459830	2Q20 BOD	redact from the	Section II.C. and		applied
			Meeting, Robert's	Board presentation	II.D. of the Motion,		redactions
			Slides, dated July	information	disclosure of granular		consistent with
			21, 2020	regarding customer	financial data and		the redactions to
				names and granular	customer names will		DX-041A in this
				financial data.	harm American's		version of the
					competitive standing.		same
					The customer also		presentation with
					has a privacy interest		presenter notes
					against disclosure in		included.
					this trial.		

DX-089B	AA-NEA-	AA-NEA-	Network Strategy	American moves to	As explained in	Core to the NEA;	The redactions
DA-009D	02981647	02981750	July Board Meeting,	redact portions of	Section II.A. and	High-level; Historic;	on this document
	02981047	02981730	dated July 2021	this exhibit, which is	II.C. of the Motion,	Overredacted. This	were sealed as
			dated July 2021	a Board deck from	disclosure of	July 2021 strategy	part of
				July 2021 spanning	competitively	deck, similar to DX-	Supplemental
				100 pages that was	sensitive business	084, includes high-	Appendix B of
				used by the	information regarding	level discussions	the Motion to
				company to explain	American's future	about Defendants'	Seal.
				to the Board in	plans and granular	inorganic growth	Scal.
				detail American's	financial data will	resulting from the	
				future commercial	harm American's	NEA.	
				strategy. Despite its	competitive standing.	NEA.	
				sensitivity,	compenitive standing.		
				American does not			
				move to redact			
				specific discussions			
				of the Northeast			
				Alliance and			
				American's strategy			
				with respect to New			
				York and Boston.			
				However, American			
				moves to redact			
				from this			
				presentation the			
				most sensitive			
				portions that reveal			
				granular and			
				competitively			
				sensitive financial			
				data including yield			
				and profitability			
				data broken out by			
				types of routes that			
				inform how			
				American makes			
				future capacity			
				decisions, revenue			
				data specific to			
				American's			

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
				partnerships and highly sensitive future strategy and network plans, including detailed analyses of network plans by airport, and future plans with respect to existing or potential partnerships.			
DX-089C	AA-NEA- 02981751	AA-NEA- 02981759	Network Strategy July Board Meeting, dated July 2021	American moves to redact portions of this exhibit, which is a summary of the Board deck from July 2021.	As explained in Section II.A. and II.C. of the Motion, disclosure of competitively sensitive business information regarding American's future plans and granular financial data will harm American's competitive standing.	None.	Plaintiffs do not object to the proposed redactions.
DX-089D	AA-NEA- 02981760		Network Strategy July Board Meeting, dated July 2021	American moves to redact portions of this exhibit, which is a summary of the Board deck from July 2021.	As explained in Section II.A. and II.C. of the Motion, disclosure of competitively sensitive business information regarding American's future plans and granular financial data will harm American's competitive standing.	None.	Plaintiffs do not object to the proposed redactions.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-271	JBLU00040 919	JBLU00040 935	JetBlue's May 2020 "Project Connie Update" Network Planning presentation	JetBlue moves to redact parts of JetBlue's network plan that discuss JetBlue's future strategy associated with specific airports and granular financial data. JetBlue does not move to seal general discussions of JetBlue's past	As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of future network plans will harm JetBlue's competitive standing. As explained in Section II.C of the motion, disclosure of granular financial data will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Core to the NEA; Overredacted. This slide deck relates to Defendants' understand of the NEA. Plaintiffs agree to redact certain margin percentages, but oppose Defendants' other redactions.	JetBlue has proposed narrower redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the remaining redactions.
DX-282	JBLU00365 608	JBLU00365 643	JetBlue's September 23, 2019 Senior Leadership Team presentation on initiatives related to a specific project launched in response to a competitor's growth in Boston	strategy. JetBlue moves to seal this presentation. This presentation relates to a project that was launched in response to one of JetBlue's competitors. This presentation contains detailed information about various strategic initiatives related to JetBlue's network, operations, infrastructure, marketing, corporate sales, revenue management, and technology that are relevant today.	As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of future network plans and corporate strategy will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	N/A	JetBlue has proposed narrower redactions rather than sealing this exhibit in its entirety. Plaintiffs do not object to the redactions.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-285A			JetBlue's January	JetBlue moves to	As explained in	N/A	Plaintiffs do not
			29, 2019 Quarterly	redact parts of	Section II.A. of the		object to
			Network Review	JetBlue's network	motion, disclosure of		JetBlue's
			presentation	plan that discuss	future network plans		proposed
				JetBlue's future	and strategy will		redactions.
				growth strategy.	harm JetBlue's		
				JetBlue does not	competitive standing.		
				move to seal general			
				discussions of			
				JetBlue's past			
				capacity and			
				strategy.			
DX-285B			JetBlue's January	JetBlue moves to	As explained in	N/A	Plaintiffs do not
			29, 2019 Quarterly	redact parts of	Section II.A. of the		object to
			Network Review	JetBlue's network	motion, disclosure of		JetBlue's
			presentation	plan that discuss	future network plans		proposed
				JetBlue's future	and strategy will		redactions.
				growth strategy.	harm JetBlue's		
				JetBlue does not	competitive standing.		
				move to seal general			
				discussions of			
				JetBlue's past			
				capacity and			
				strategy.			

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates	-	Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-327	JBLU02627 810	JBLU02627 810	Text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence, dated April 7, 2020	JetBlue moves redact personal phone numbers and parts of this April 2020 text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence that discuss JetBlue's future fleet strategy, including unrealized future network growth strategy.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be sealed in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of highly sensitive, nonpublic strategic planning documents, including those relating to initiatives in response to a particular competitor, will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Core to the NEA; Employee discourse; High- level. This is part of a single text conversation between JetBlue's CEO and Head of Revenue in April 2020 during NEA negotiations and discussing the potential effect of the NEA. Plaintiffs do not oppose redacting phone numbers, other personal identification information, or specific airports or routes for plans that have not been announced or implemented. Plaintiffs do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	This text message is contained with PX0739, an exhibit to which Plaintiffs have agreed to JetBlue's narrowed redactions. JetBlue agrees to use the same agreed-upon redactions from PX0739 for this text message, and Plaintiffs do not object.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-332	JBLU02627 815	JBLU02627 815	Text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence, dated April 7, 2020	JetBlue moves redact personal phone numbers and parts of this April 2020 text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence that discuss JetBlue's future fleet strategy, including unrealized future network growth strategy.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be sealed in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of highly sensitive, non-public strategic planning documents, including those relating to initiatives in response to a particular competitor, will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Core to the NEA; Employee discourse; High- level. This is part of a single text conversation between JetBlue's CEO and Head of Revenue in April 2020 during NEA negotiations and discussing the potential effect of the NEA. Plaintiffs do not oppose redacting phone numbers, other personal identification information, or specific airports or routes for plans that have not been announced or implemented. Plaintiffs do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	This text message is contained with PX0739, an exhibit to which Plaintiffs have agreed to JetBlue's narrowed redactions. JetBlue agrees to use the same agreed-upon redactions from PX0739 for this text message and Plaintiffs do not object.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-337	JBLU02627	JBLU02627	Text message thread	JetBlue moves	As explained in	N/A	This text
	820	820	between Robin	redact personal	Section I.A. of the		message is
			Hayes and Scott	phone numbers and	motion, personal		contained with
			Laurence, dated	parts of this April	phone numbers		PX0739, an
			April 7, 2020	2020 text message	should be sealed in		exhibit to which
				thread between	the interest of		Plaintiffs have
				Robin Hayes and	privacy.		agreed to
				Scott Laurence that			JetBlue's
				discuss JetBlue's	As explained in		narrowed
				future fleet strategy,	Section II.A. of the		redactions.
				including unrealized	motion, disclosure of		JetBlue agrees to
				future network	highly sensitive, non-		use the same
				growth strategy.	public strategic		agreed-upon
					planning documents,		redactions from
					including those		PX0739 for this
					relating to initiatives		text message,
					in response to a		and Plaintiffs do
					particular competitor,		not object.
					will harm JetBlue's		
					competitive standing.		

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-340	JBLU02627 823	JBLU02627 823	Text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence, dated April 7, 2020	JetBlue moves redact personal phone numbers and parts of this April 2020 text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence that discuss JetBlue's future fleet strategy, including unrealized future network growth strategy.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be sealed in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of highly sensitive, non-public strategic planning documents, including those relating to initiatives in response to a particular competitor, will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Core to the NEA; Employee discourse; High- level. This is part of a single text conversation between JetBlue's CEO and Head of Revenue in April 2020 during NEA negotiations and discussing the potential effect of the NEA. Plaintiffs do not oppose redacting phone numbers, other personal identification information, or specific airports or routes for plans that have not been announced or implemented. Plaintiffs do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	This text message is contained with PX0739, an exhibit to which Plaintiffs have agreed to JetBlue's narrowed redactions. JetBlue agrees to use the same agreed-upon redactions from PX0739 for this text message, and Plaintiffs do not object.

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 11 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-342	JBLU02627	JBLU02627	Text message thread	JetBlue moves	As explained in	N/A	This text
	825	825	between Robin	redact personal	Section I.A. of the		message is
			Hayes and Scott	phone numbers and	motion, personal		contained with
			Laurence, dated	parts of this April	phone numbers		PX0739, an
			April 7, 2020	2020 text message	should be sealed in		exhibit to which
				thread between	the interest of		Plaintiffs have
				Robin Hayes and	privacy.		agreed to
				Scott Laurence that			JetBlue's
				discuss JetBlue's	As explained in		narrowed
				future fleet strategy,	Section II.A. of the		redactions.
				including unrealized	motion, disclosure of		JetBlue agrees to
				future network	highly sensitive, non-		use the same
				growth strategy.	public strategic		agreed-upon
					planning documents,		redactions from
					including those		PX0739 for this
					relating to initiatives		text message,
					in response to a		and Plaintiffs do
					particular competitor,		not object.
					will harm JetBlue's		
					competitive standing.		

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 12 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-343	JBLU02627	JBLU02627	Text message thread	JetBlue moves	As explained in	N/A	This text
	825	825	between Robin	redact personal	Section I.A. of the		message is
			Hayes and Scott	phone numbers and	motion, personal		contained with
			Laurence, dated	parts of this April	phone numbers		PX0739, an
			April 7, 2020	2020 text message	should be sealed in		exhibit to which
				thread between	the interest of		Plaintiffs have
				Robin Hayes and	privacy.		agreed to
				Scott Laurence that			JetBlue's
				discuss JetBlue's	As explained in		narrowed
				future fleet strategy,	Section II.A. of the		redactions.
				including unrealized	motion, disclosure of		JetBlue agrees to
				future network	highly sensitive, non-		use the same
				growth strategy.	public strategic		agreed-upon
					planning documents,		redactions from
					including those		PX0739 for this
					relating to initiatives		text message,
					in response to a		and Plaintiffs do
					particular competitor,		not object.
					will harm JetBlue's		
					competitive standing.		

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-344	JBLU02627 827	JBLU02627 827	Text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence, dated April 7, 2020	JetBlue moves redact personal phone numbers and parts of this April 2020 text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence that discuss JetBlue's future fleet strategy, including unrealized future network growth strategy.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be sealed in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of highly sensitive, non-public strategic planning documents, including those relating to initiatives in response to a particular competitor, will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Core to the NEA; Employee discourse; High- level. This is part of a single text conversation between JetBlue's CEO and Head of Revenue in April 2020 during NEA negotiations and discussing the potential effect of the NEA. Plaintiffs do not oppose redacting phone numbers, other personal identification information, or specific airports or routes for plans that have not been announced or implemented. Plaintiffs do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	This text message is contained with PX0739, an exhibit to which Plaintiffs have agreed to JetBlue's narrowed redactions. JetBlue agrees to use the same agreed-upon redactions from PX0739 for this text message, and Plaintiffs do not object.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-345	JBLU02627 828	JBLU02627 828	Text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence, dated April 7, 2020	JetBlue moves redact personal phone numbers and parts of this April 2020 text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence that discuss JetBlue's future fleet strategy, including unrealized future network growth strategy.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be sealed in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of highly sensitive, non-public strategic planning documents, including those relating to initiatives in response to a particular competitor, will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Core to the NEA; Employee discourse; High- level. This is part of a single text conversation between JetBlue's CEO and Head of Revenue in April 2020 during NEA negotiations and discussing the potential effect of the NEA. Plaintiffs do not oppose redacting phone numbers, other personal identification information, or specific airports or routes for plans that have not been announced or implemented. Plaintiffs do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	This text message is contained with PX0739, an exhibit to which Plaintiffs have agreed to JetBlue's narrowed redactions. JetBlue agrees to use the same agreed-upon redactions from PX0739 for this text message, and Plaintiffs do not object.

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 15 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates	•	Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-346	JBLU02627 829	JBLU02627 829	Text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence, dated April 7, 2020	JetBlue moves redact personal phone numbers and parts of this April 2020 text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence that discuss JetBlue's future fleet strategy, including unrealized future network growth strategy.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be sealed in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of highly sensitive, nonpublic strategic planning documents, including those relating to initiatives in response to a particular competitor, will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Core to the NEA; Employee discourse; High- level. This is part of a single text conversation between JetBlue's CEO and Head of Revenue in April 2020 during NEA negotiations and discussing the potential effect of the NEA. Plaintiffs do not oppose redacting phone numbers, other personal identification information, or specific airports or routes for plans that have not been announced or implemented. Plaintiffs do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	This text message is contained with PX0739, an exhibit to which Plaintiffs have agreed to JetBlue's narrowed redactions. JetBlue agrees to use the same agreed-upon redactions from PX0739 for this text message, and Plaintiffs do not object.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-347	JBLU02627 830	JBLU02627 830	Text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence, dated April 7, 2020	JetBlue moves redact personal phone numbers and parts of this April 2020 text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence that discuss JetBlue's future fleet strategy, including unrealized future network growth strategy.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be sealed in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of highly sensitive, non-public strategic planning documents, including those relating to initiatives in response to a particular competitor, will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Core to the NEA; Employee discourse; High- level. This is part of a single text conversation between JetBlue's CEO and Head of Revenue in April 2020 during NEA negotiations and discussing the potential effect of the NEA. Plaintiffs do not oppose redacting phone numbers, other personal identification information, or specific airports or routes for plans that have not been announced or implemented. Plaintiffs do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	This text message is contained with PX0739, an exhibit to which Plaintiffs have agreed to JetBlue's narrowed redactions. JetBlue agrees to use the same agreed-upon redactions from PX0739 for this text message, and Plaintiffs do not object.

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 17 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-348	JBLU02627 831	JBLU02627 831	Text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence, dated April 7, 2020	JetBlue moves redact personal phone numbers and parts of this April 2020 text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence that discuss JetBlue's future fleet strategy, including unrealized future network growth strategy.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be sealed in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of highly sensitive, non-public strategic planning documents, including those relating to initiatives in response to a particular competitor, will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Core to the NEA; Employee discourse; High- level. This is part of a single text conversation between JetBlue's CEO and Head of Revenue in April 2020 during NEA negotiations and discussing the potential effect of the NEA. Plaintiffs do not oppose redacting phone numbers, other personal identification information, or specific airports or routes for plans that have not been announced or implemented. Plaintiffs do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	This text message is contained with PX0739, an exhibit to which Plaintiffs have agreed to JetBlue's narrowed redactions. JetBlue agrees to use the same agreed-upon redactions from PX0739 for this text message, and Plaintiffs do not object.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-350	JBLU02627 833	JBLU02627 833	Text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence, dated April 7, 2020	JetBlue moves redact personal phone numbers and parts of this April 2020 text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence that discuss JetBlue's future fleet strategy, including unrealized future network growth strategy.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be sealed in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of highly sensitive, non-public strategic planning documents, including those relating to initiatives in response to a particular competitor, will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Core to the NEA; Employee discourse; High- level. This is part of a single text conversation between JetBlue's CEO and Head of Revenue in April 2020 during NEA negotiations and discussing the potential effect of the NEA. Plaintiffs do not oppose redacting phone numbers, other personal identification information, or specific airports or routes for plans that have not been announced or implemented. Plaintiffs do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	This text message is contained with PX0739, an exhibit to which Plaintiffs have agreed to JetBlue's narrowed redactions. JetBlue agrees to use the same agreed-upon redactions from PX0739 for this text message, and Plaintiffs do not object.

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 19 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-351	JBLU02627	JBLU02627	Text message thread	JetBlue moves	As explained in	N/A	This text
	834	834	between Robin	redact personal	Section I.A. of the		message is
			Hayes and Scott	phone numbers and	motion, personal		contained with
			Laurence, dated	parts of this April	phone numbers		PX0739, an
			April 7, 2020	2020 text message	should be sealed in		exhibit to which
				thread between	the interest of		Plaintiffs have
				Robin Hayes and	privacy.		agreed to
				Scott Laurence that			JetBlue's
				discuss JetBlue's	As explained in		narrowed
				future fleet strategy,	Section II.A. of the		redactions.
				including unrealized	motion, disclosure of		JetBlue agrees to
				future network	highly sensitive, non-		use the same
				growth strategy.	public strategic		agreed-upon
					planning documents,		redactions from
					including those		PX0739 for this
					relating to initiatives		text message,
					in response to a		and Plaintiffs do
					particular competitor,		not object.
					will harm JetBlue's		
					competitive standing.		

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-354	JBLU02627 837	JBLU02627 837	Text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence, dated April 7, 2020	JetBlue moves redact personal phone numbers and parts of this April 2020 text message thread between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence that discuss JetBlue's future fleet strategy, including unrealized future network growth strategy.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be sealed in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of highly sensitive, non-public strategic planning documents, including those relating to initiatives in response to a particular competitor, will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Core to the NEA; Employee discourse; High- level. This is part of a single text conversation between JetBlue's CEO and Head of Revenue in April 2020 during NEA negotiations and discussing the potential effect of the NEA. Plaintiffs do not oppose redacting phone numbers, other personal identification information, or specific airports or routes for plans that have not been announced or implemented. Plaintiffs do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	This text message is contained with PX0739, an exhibit to which Plaintiffs have agreed to JetBlue's narrowed redactions. JetBlue agrees to use the same agreed-upon redactions from PX0739 for this text message, and Plaintiffs do not object.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-386	JBLU-LIT- 02357567	JBLU-LIT- 02357569	Email chain between Barry McMenamin, Robbie Mehoke, Gregory Royall, and Ruth Medina regarding BOS- DCA pricing for numerous corporate customers from October 2021	JetBlue moves to redact from this email regarding BOS-DCA pricing for numerous corporate customers. JetBlue does not seal other pertinent information regarding the terms of the offer to the corporate customers.	As explained in Section I.B. of the Motion, certain corporate customer names should be sealed in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.D. of the Motion, specific pricing terms if disclosed will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	N/A	JetBlue has proposed additional redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the remaining redactions.
DX-814 / PX1006 (duplicate)			Exhibit 2 of N. Miller Expert Report (June 9, 2022)	American moves to seal this exhibit. The exhibit is a map of where American's customers reside created using American's frequent flier ticket data.	This map provides competitors an understanding of where marketing could be targeted to capture more of American's customers. Thus, disclosure will harm American's competitive standing as explained in Section II of the Motion.	Core to the NEA; High-level; Historic. This document reflects expert, theoretical, empirical analysis of aggregated and anonymized frequent flyer ticket data from 2019.	Plaintiffs do not object to sealing the map itself. American agrees that Plaintiffs may publicly refer to and describe the map provided that the description is not at the zip code level.

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 22 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates	-	Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-815 /			Exhibit 3 of N.	JetBlue moves to	This map provides	Core to the NEA;	Plaintiffs do not
PX1007			Miller Expert	seal this	competitors an	High-level; Historic.	object to sealing
(duplicate)			Report (June 9,	exhibit. The exhibit	understanding of		the map
			2022)	is a map of where	where marketing	This document	itself. JetBlue
				JetBlue's customers	could be targeted to	reflects expert,	agrees that
				reside created using	capture more of	theoretical,	Plaintiffs may
				JetBlue's ticket	JetBlue's	empirical analysis of	publicly refer to
				sales data.	customers. Thus, disclosure will harm	aggregated and anonymized JetBlue	and describe the map provided
					JetBlue's competitive	ticket data from	that the
					standing as explained	2019.	description is not
					in Section II of the		at the zip code
					Motion.		level.
DX-817 /			Exhibit 5 of N.	American moves to	This map provides	Core to the NEA;	Plaintiffs do not
PX1009			Miller Expert	seal this exhibit. The	competitors an	High-level; Historic.	object to sealing
(duplicate)			Report (June 9,	exhibit is a map of	understanding of	This document	the map
			2022)	where American's	where marketing	reflects expert,	itself. American
				customers reside	could be targeted to	theoretical,	agrees that
				created using	capture more of	empirical analysis of	Plaintiffs may
				American's frequent	American's	aggregated and	publicly refer to
				flier ticket data.	customers. Thus,	anonymized	and describe the
					disclosure will harm	American ticket data	map provided
					American's	from 2019.	that the
					competitive standing		description is not
					as explained in		at the zip code
					Section II of the		level.
					Motion.		

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates	•	Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-818 /			Exhibit 6 of N.	JetBlue moves to	This map provides	Core to the NEA;	Plaintiffs do not
PX1010			Miller Expert	seal this	competitors an	High-level; Historic.	object to sealing
(duplicate)			Report (June 9,	exhibit. The exhibit	understanding of		the map
			2022)	is a map of where	where marketing	This document	itself. JetBlue
				JetBlue's customers	could be targeted to	reflects expert,	agrees that
				reside created using	capture more of	theoretical,	Plaintiffs may
				JetBlue's ticket	JetBlue's	empirical analysis of	publicly refer to
				sales data.	customers. Thus, disclosure will harm	aggregated and anonymized JetBlue	and describe the map provided
					JetBlue's competitive	ticket data from	that the
					standing as explained	2019.	description is not
					in Section II of the		at the zip code
					Motion.		level.
DX-821 /			Miller Expert	American moves to	This map provides	Core to the NEA;	Plaintiffs do not
PX1013			Report (June 9,	seal this exhibit. The	competitors an	High-level; Historic.	object to sealing
(duplicate)			2022)	exhibit is a map of	understanding of	This document	the map
				where American's	where marketing	reflects expert,	itself. American
				customers reside	could be targeted to	theoretical,	agrees that
				created using	capture more of	empirical analysis of	Plaintiffs may
				American's frequent	American's	aggregated and	publicly refer to
				flier ticket data.	customers. Thus,	anonymized JetBlue	and describe the
					disclosure will harm	and American ticket	map provided
					American's	data from 2019.	that the
					competitive standing		description is not
					as explained in		at the zip code
					Section II of the		level.
					Motion.		

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates	_	Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-822 /			Exhibit 10 of N.	JetBlue moves to	This map provides	Core to the NEA;	Plaintiffs do not
PX1014			Miller Expert	seal this	competitors an	High-level; Historic.	object to sealing
(duplicate)			Report (June 9,	exhibit. The exhibit	understanding of		the map
			2022)	is a map of where	where marketing	This document	itself. JetBlue
				JetBlue's customers	could be targeted to	reflects expert,	agrees that
				reside created using	capture more of	theoretical,	Plaintiffs may
				JetBlue's ticket	JetBlue's	empirical analysis of	publicly refer to
				sales data.	customers. Thus, disclosure will harm	aggregated and anonymized JetBlue	and describe the map provided
					JetBlue's competitive	and American ticket	that the
					standing as explained	data from 2019.	description is not
					in Section II of the		at the zip code
					Motion.		level.
DX-845 /			Exhibit 33 of N.	American moves to	This map provides	Core to the NEA;	Plaintiffs do not
PX1037			Miller Expert	seal this exhibit. The	competitors an	High-level; Historic.	object to sealing
(duplicate)			Report (June 9,	exhibit is a map of	understanding of	This document	the map
			2022)	where American's	where marketing	reflects expert,	itself. American
				customers reside	could be targeted to	theoretical,	agrees that
				created using	capture more of	empirical analysis of	Plaintiffs may
				American's frequent	American's	aggregated and	publicly refer to
				flier ticket data.	customers. Thus,	anonymized	and describe the
					disclosure will harm	American ticket data	map provided
					American's	from 2019.	that the
					competitive standing		description is not
					as explained in		at the zip code
					Section II of the		level.
					Motion.		

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-846 / PX1038			Exhibit 34 of N. Miller Expert	JetBlue moves to seal this	This map provides competitors an	Core to the NEA; High-level; Historic.	Plaintiffs do not object to sealing
(duplicate)			Report (June 9, 2022)	exhibit. The exhibit is a map of where JetBlue's customers reside created using JetBlue's ticket sales data.	understanding of where marketing could be targeted to capture more of JetBlue's customers. Thus, disclosure will harm JetBlue's competitive standing as explained in Section II of the Motion.	This document reflects expert, theoretical, empirical analysis of aggregated and anonymized JetBlue ticket data from 2019.	the map itself. JetBlue agrees that Plaintiffs may publicly refer to and describe the map provided that the description is not at the zip code level.
DX-847 / PX1039 (duplicate)			Exhibit 35 of N. Miller Expert Report (June 9, 2022)	American moves to seal this exhibit. The exhibit is a map of where American's customers reside created using American's frequent flier ticket data.	This map provides competitors an understanding of where marketing could be targeted to capture more of American's customers. Thus, disclosure will harm American's competitive standing as explained in Section II of the Motion.	Core to the NEA; High-level; Historic. This document reflects expert, theoretical, empirical analysis of aggregated and anonymized American ticket data from 2019.	Plaintiffs do not object to sealing the map itself. American agrees that Plaintiffs may publicly refer to and describe the map provided that the description is not at the zip code level.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-848 /			Exhibit 36 of N.	JetBlue moves to	This map provides	Core to the NEA;	Plaintiffs do not
PX1040			Miller Expert	seal this	competitors an	High-level; Historic.	object to sealing
(duplicate)			Report (June 9,	exhibit. The exhibit	understanding of		the map
			2022)	is a map of where	where marketing	This document	itself. JetBlue
				JetBlue's customers	could be targeted to	reflects expert,	agrees that
				reside created using	capture more of	theoretical,	Plaintiffs may
				JetBlue's ticket	JetBlue's	empirical analysis of	publicly refer to
				sales data.	customers. Thus,	aggregated and	and describe the
					disclosure will harm	anonymized JetBlue	map provided
					JetBlue's competitive	ticket data from	that the
					standing as explained	2019.	description is not
					in Section II of the		at the zip code
					Motion.		level.
DX-849 /			Exhibit 37 of N.	American moves to	This map provides	Core to the NEA;	Plaintiffs do not
PX1041			Miller Expert	seal this exhibit. The	competitors an	High-level; Historic.	object to sealing
(duplicate)			Report (June 9,	exhibit is a map of	understanding of	This document	the map
			2022)	where American's	where marketing	reflects expert,	itself. American
				customers reside	could be targeted to	theoretical,	agrees that
				created using	capture more of	empirical analysis of	Plaintiffs may
				American's frequent	American's	aggregated and	publicly refer to
				flier ticket data.	customers. Thus,	anonymized	and describe the
					disclosure will harm	American ticket data	map provided
					American's	from 2019.	that the
					competitive standing		description is not
					as explained in		at the zip code
					Section II of the		level.
					Motion.		

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 27 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-850 /			Exhibit 38 of N.	JetBlue moves to	This map provides	Core to the NEA;	Plaintiffs do not
PX1042			Miller Expert	seal this	competitors an	High-level; Historic.	object to sealing
(duplicate)			Report (June 9,	exhibit. The exhibit	understanding of		the map
			2022)	is a map of where	where marketing	This document	itself. JetBlue
				JetBlue's customers	could be targeted to	reflects expert,	agrees that
				reside created using	capture more of	theoretical,	Plaintiffs may
				JetBlue's ticket	JetBlue's	empirical analysis of	publicly refer to
				sales data.	customers. Thus,	aggregated and	and describe the
					disclosure will harm	anonymized JetBlue	map provided
					JetBlue's competitive	ticket data from	that the
					standing as explained	2019.	description is not
					in Section II of the		at the zip code
					Motion.		level.
DX-890			Exhibit 35 of D. Lee	American moves to	This map provides	Core to the NEA;	Plaintiffs do not
			Expert Report (July	seal this exhibit. The	competitors an	High-level; Historic.	object to sealing
			11, 2022)	exhibit is a map of	understanding of	This document	the map
				where American's	where marketing	reflects expert,	itself. American
				and JetBlue's	could be targeted to	theoretical,	agrees that
				customers reside	capture more of	empirical analysis of	Plaintiffs may
				using Defendants'	American's and	aggregated and	publicly refer to
				frequent flier ticket	JetBlue's customers.	anonymized data.	and describe the
				data.	Thus, disclosure will		map provided
					harm Defendants'		that the
					competitive standing		description is not
					as explained in		at the zip code
					Section II of the		level.
					Motion.		

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 28 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-891			Exhibit 36 of D. Lee Expert Report (July 11, 2022)	American moves to seal this exhibit. The exhibit is a map of where American's and JetBlue's customers reside using Defendants' frequent flier ticket data.	This map provides competitors an understanding of where marketing could be targeted to capture more of American's and JetBlue's customers. Thus, disclosure will harm Defendants' competitive standing as explained in Section II of the Motion.	Core to the NEA; High-level; Historic. This document reflects expert, theoretical, empirical analysis of aggregated and anonymized data.	Plaintiffs do not object to sealing the map itself. American agrees that Plaintiffs may publicly refer to and describe the map provided that the description is not at the zip code level.
DX-892			Exhibit 37 of D. Lee Expert Report (July 11, 2022)	JetBlue moves to seal this exhibit. The exhibit is a map of where JetBlue's customers reside created using JetBlue's ticket sales data.	This map provides competitors an understanding of where marketing could be targeted to capture more of JetBlue's customers. Thus, disclosure will harm JetBlue's competitive standing as explained in Section II of the Motion.	Core to the NEA; High-level; Historic. This document reflects expert, theoretical, empirical analysis of aggregated and anonymized data.	Plaintiffs do not object to sealing the map itself. JetBlue agrees that Plaintiffs may publicly refer to and describe the map provided that the description is not at the zip code level.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-893			Exhibit 38 of D. Lee	American moves to	This map provides	Core to the NEA;	Plaintiffs do not
			Expert Report (July	seal this exhibit. The	competitors an	High-level; Historic.	object to sealing
			11, 2022)	exhibit is a map of	understanding of	This document	the map
				where American's	where marketing	reflects expert,	itself. American
				customers reside	could be targeted to	theoretical,	agrees that
				created using	capture more of	empirical analysis of	Plaintiffs may
				American's frequent	American's	aggregated and	publicly refer to
				flier ticket data.	customers. Thus,	anonymized	and describe the
					disclosure will harm	catchment data.	map provided
					American's		that the
					competitive standing		description is not
					as explained in		at the zip code
					Section II of the		level.
					Motion.		
DX-894			Exhibit 39 of D. Lee	American moves to	This map provides	Core to the NEA;	Plaintiffs do not
			Expert Report (July	seal this exhibit. The	competitors an	High-level; Historic.	object to sealing
			11, 2022)	exhibit is a map of	understanding of	This document	the map
				where American's	where marketing	reflects expert,	itself. American
				and JetBlue's	could be targeted to	theoretical,	agrees that
				customers reside	capture more of	empirical analysis of	Plaintiffs may
				using Defendants'	American's and	aggregated and	publicly refer to
				frequent flier ticket	JetBlue's customers.	anonymized data.	and describe the
				data.	Thus, disclosure will		map provided
					harm Defendants'		that the
					competitive standing		description is not
					as explained in		at the zip code
					Section II of the		level.
					Motion.		

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-910			Exhibit 55 of D. Lee Expert Report (July 11, 2022)	American moves to seal this exhibit. The exhibit is a map of where American's and JetBlue's customers reside using Defendants' frequent flier ticket data.	This map provides competitors an understanding of where marketing could be targeted to capture more of American's and JetBlue's customers. Thus, disclosure will harm Defendants' competitive standing as explained in Section II of the Motion.	Core to the NEA; High-level; Historic. This document reflects expert, theoretical, empirical analysis of aggregated and anonymized data.	Plaintiffs do not object to sealing the map itself. American agrees that Plaintiffs may publicly refer to and describe the map provided that the description is not at the zip code level.
DX-1075A			Project Garland – Overview and Status Update	American moves to redact portions of this exhibit that contain future plans.	As explained in Section II.A. of the Motion, disclosure of future plans will harm American's competitive standing.	N/A	Plaintiffs do not object to the redactions.
DX-1084	AA-NEA- 00319219	AA-NEA- 00319314	Email from Sandra Brown to Stephanie Creamer et al. Re: Customer RFP Presentation Deck plus attachment	American moves to redact from this exhibit customer names and granular financial data.	As explained in Section II.C. and II.D. of the Motion, disclosure of granular financial data and customer names will harm American's competitive standing. The customer also has a privacy interest against disclosure in this trial.	N/A	Plaintiffs do not object to the redactions.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
DX-1085			"Customer Partnership Proposal" Presentation	American moves to redact from this exhibit customer names and granular financial data.	As explained in Section II.C. and II.D. of the Motion, disclosure of granular financial data and customer names will harm American's competitive standing. The customer also has a privacy interest against disclosure in this trial.	N/A	Plaintiffs do not object to the redactions.
DX-1086	AA-NEA- 02201800		Customer Partnership Proposal Presentation by Jane Kroening and Ricki Reichard	American moves to redact from this exhibit customer names and granular financial data.	As explained in Section II.C. and II.D. of the Motion, disclosure of granular financial data and customer names will harm American's competitive standing. The customer also has a privacy interest against disclosure in this trial.	N/A	Plaintiffs do not object to the redactions.
PX0091	AA-NEA- 00067950	AA-NEA- 00067951	Email thread between Ricki Reichard, Paul Swartz, Coleen Sloane, and Jim Carter from January 2019 re: American Airlines Above & Beyond - You've been recognized!	American moves to redact customer names from this exhibit.	As explained in Section II.D of the Motion, disclosure of corporate customer names will harm American's competitive standing. The customer also has a privacy interest against disclosure in this trial.	N/A	Plaintiffs do not object to the redactions.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0092	AA-NEA- 00068377	AA-NEA- 00068378	Email thread between Kyle Mabry, Jim Carter, Paul Swartz, Ricki Reichard, Steven Gilman, Vasu Raja, Kristen Foster, Don Casey, Brandon Kahle, Jill Surdek, Kurt Stache, Kerry Philipovitch, Janelle Anderson, Julie Rath, Heather Same, Erwan Perhirin, Susannah Wesley- Ahlschwede, Ronald Defeo, and Alison Jane Taylor from November 2018 re: BOS-LAX Performance	American moves to redact customer names from this exhibit.	As explained in Section II.D of the Motion, disclosure of corporate customer names will harm American's competitive standing. The customer also has a privacy interest against disclosure in this trial.	N/A	Plaintiffs do not object to the redactions.
PX0197	AA-NEA- 00594230	AA-NEA- 00594234	Improvement Plan Email thread between Wim Reckelbus, Paul Swartz, Marco Pena, Ricki Reichard, Julie Bass, Eleni Karandreas, and Brenda Whalen from June 2019 re: customer for shuttle approval	American moves to redact parts of this email communication that identify a corporate customer and discuss specific pricing terms and targeted bidding strategies for that corporate customer.	As explained in Section II.D of the Motion, disclosure of corporate customer names and specific pricing terms for that corporate customer will harm American's competitive standing. The customer also has a privacy interest against disclosure in this trial.	Historic; Overredacted; Pre- NEA competition. The document shows the impact of Boston competition on Boston-area sales agreement. Redacting the customer name is acceptable.	Plaintiffs agreed to revised narrower set of redactions to this document on October 6, 2022.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number PX0199	Bates AA-NEA- 00594318	Bates AA-NEA- 00594323	Email thread between Cory Heckman, Wim Reckelbus, Gabriela Sposito, Joann Riedel, Paul Swartz, BJ Hemeng Jr., Robert Happenstall, Ricki Reichard, and Brenda Whalen from March - September 2018 re: customer Mgmt round 1 feedback. Final due Oct 1st - shuttle	Motion To Seal American moves to redact parts of this email communication that identify a corporate customer and discuss specific pricing terms and targeted bidding strategies for that corporate customer.	As explained in Section II.D of the Motion, disclosure of corporate customer names and specific pricing terms for that corporate customer will harm American's competitive standing. The customer also has a privacy interest against disclosure in this trial.	Objection Historic; Overredacted; Pre- NEA competition. The document shows the impact of Boston competition on Boston-area sales agreement. Redacting the customer name is acceptable.	Plaintiffs agreed to revised narrower set of redactions to this document on October 6, 2022.
PX0216	AA-NEA- 00614771	AA-NEA- 00614775	Email thread between Richard Sien, Erik Anton, Allysen Roberts, and Allie Plunk from March 2020 re: Spread B6 Sale	American moves to seal this email chain, which is a discussion among employees in the pricing department of American regarding how to execute a specific pricing strategy in the context of certain market conditions.	As explained in Section II.B. of the Motion, disclosure of pricing strategy will harm American's competitive standing.	Historic; Overredacted; Pre- NEA competition. The document shows competition between JetBlue and American. Plaintiffs agree to redact non- NEA routes.	Plaintiffs no longer object to sealing this exhibit in its entirety.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates	-	Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0358	AA-NEA-	Standalone	American moves to	As explained in	Core to the NEA. The	PX0358	Plaintiffs no
	02832323	American	seal the exhibit in its	Section II.C. of the	Mutual Growth		longer object to
		Airlines	entirety. The	Motion, disclosure	Incentive Agreement		sealing this
		spread sheet	spreadsheet is an	of American and	is a central		exhibit in its
		from	excel file that	JetBlue revenue data	component of the		entirety.
		January	includes revenue	will harm	NEA.		
		2021 titled	sharing calculation	American's			
		"NEA	in the NEA that	competitive			
		MGIA	apply highly	standing.			
		Model";	sensitive revenue	Additionally, as			
		contains 47	data from American	explained in Section			
		tabs.	and JetBlue and	II.E. of the Motion,			
			reveal exactly how	disclosure of this			
			those revenue data is	detailed spreadsheet			
			imputed, analyzed,	that reveals exactly			
			and calculated for	how American			
			the purpose of the	analyzes revenue			
			revenue sharing in	data for the purpose			
			the MGIA.	of revenue sharing			
				reveals proprietary			
				and non-public			
				business information			
				that will harm			
				American's			
				competitive			
				standing.			

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 35 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0434	DOJ-NEA-	DOJ-NEA-	Defendant JetBlue	JetBlue moves to	As explained in	N/A	Plaintiffs do not
	00001061	00001100	Airways	redact from this	Section II.D of the		object to
			Corporation's	exhibit sensitive	Motion, disclosure of		JetBlue's
			Responses and	information	corporate customer		proposed
			Objections to	regarding JetBlue's	names and specific		redactions.
			Plaintiffs' Second	corporate customers.	pricing terms and		
			Set of		targeted bidding		
			Interrogatories to		strategies for that		
			Defendant JetBlue		corporate customer		
			Airways		will harm JetBlue's		
			Corporation in		competitive standing.		
			United States of		The customer also		
			America et al v.		has a privacy interest		
			American Airlines		against disclosure in		
			Group Inc. and		this trial.		
			JetBlue Airways				
			Corporation (Civil				
			Action No. 1:21-cv-				
			11558-LTS)				
PX0520	JBLU00061	JBLU00061	Email thread	JetBlue moves to	As explained in	N/A	Plaintiffs do not
	801	803	between Dave	redact personal	Section I.A. of the		object to
			Clark, Evan	phone numbers from	motion, personal		JetBlue's
			Jarashow, Andrew	this November 2018	phone numbers		proposed
			Parker, and Michael	email.	should be sealed in		redactions.
			Hilyard, dated		the interest of		
			November 2018.		privacy.		
					<u> </u>		

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates	•	Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0575	JBLU00888 108	JBLU00888 151	November/Decembe r 2019 email thread between Robin Hayes and Tracy Lawlor regarding Project Exchange Updated; contains two attachments titled "Proj. Exchange Dec 12 Board Readout.pdf" and "ATT00001.htm"	JetBlue moves to seal this email thread regarding JetBlue's corporate strategy, including non-stale competitively sensitive information concerning a pending corporate transaction.	As explained in Section II.A. of the Motion, disclosure of non-stale competitively sensitive corporate strategy will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Overredacted; Publicly available. This document relates to the Spirit transaction, which has been publicly announced. Limited redactions of numbers would be sufficient. The document is from December 2019.	JetBlue had previously proposed narrower redactions, and Plaintiffs do not object. After proposing the narrower redactions, JetBlue also proposed additional redactions, and Plaintiffs do not object.
PX0658	JBLU01461 210	JBLU01461 213	Email thread between Barry McMenamin, Robbie Mehoke, Gregory Royall and corporate customers from September 2018 regarding negotiations around corporate discount agreement.	JetBlue moves to redact information related to specific pricing and discount terms provided to a corporate customer as well as the corporate customer name.	As explained in Section II.D. of the Motion, disclosure of customer lists and highly sensitive, non-public pricing and discount information will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	N/A	JetBlue proposed additional redactions for consistency beyond the redactions that had already been agreed to, and Plaintiffs do not object.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0663	JBLU01484 552	JBLU01484 557	Email thread between Robbie Mehoke, Barry McMenamin, and Jeremy Blechman from January 2020 re renewal of corporate discount agreement with corporate customer	JetBlue moves to redact information related to specific pricing and discount terms provided to a corporate customer as well as the corporate customer name.	As explained in Section II.D. of the Motion, disclosure of customer lists and highly sensitive, non-public pricing and discount information will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Historic; Overredacted; Pre- NEA competition. This document relates to fare offers for corporate customer from February 2018. Plaintiffs do not object to redaction of name of corporate customer, but do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	Plaintiffs do not object to JetBlue's proposed redactions.
PX0665	JBLU01499 803	JBLU01499 807	Email thread between Diane Zaiter, Robbie Mehoke, Evan Jarashow, Michael Hillyard, Aamir Patel from May - June 2018 re: [Corporate Customer] Mint Fares	JetBlue moves to redact information related to specific pricing and discount terms provided to a corporate customer as well as the corporate customer name.	As explained in Section II.D. of the Motion, disclosure of customer lists and highly sensitive, non-public pricing and discount information will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Historic; Overredacted; Pre- NEA competition. This document relates to fare offers for corporate customer from June 2018. Language in the email reflects pre-NEA competition between American and JetBlue. Plaintiffs do not object to redaction of name of corporate customer, but do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	JetBlue has proposed additional redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the additional redactions.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0707	JBLU02502 278	JBLU02502 278	Text message between David Fintzen and Derek Klinka from May 2020	JetBlue moves to redact the phone numbers of the participants in the text message. JetBlue does not move to seal the conversation.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be redacted in the interest of privacy.	N/A	This text message is contained within PX0708, and JetBlue will apply the same redactions to this message
PX0708	JBLU02502 278	JBLU02502 279	Series of text messages between David Fintzen and Derek Klinka from May 2020	JetBlue moves to redact the phone numbers of the participants in the text messages. JetBlue does not move to seal the conversation.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be redacted in the interest of privacy.	N/A	JetBlue has proposed additional redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the additional redactions.
PX0712	JBLU02527 337	JBLU02527 357	Series of text messages between Joanna Geraghty and Robin Hayes from June 2020	JetBlue moves to redact the phone number of the participants in the text messages, and to redact future network planning and business strategy. JetBlue does not move to seal historic information.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be redacted in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of network planning and forward looking plans will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Employee discourse; Historic; Publicly available. Text conversation from June 2020 referring to plans that have since been publicly announced.	JetBlue has proposed additional redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the additional redactions.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0723	JBLU02603 204	JBLU02603 216	Series of text messages between David Fintzen and Derek Klinka from May 2020	JetBlue moves to redact the phone numbers of the participants in the text messages. JetBlue does not move to seal the conversation.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be redacted in the interest of privacy.	N/A	JetBlue has proposed additional redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the additional redactions.
PX0739 & PX0739a	JBLU02627 810	JBLU02627 837	Series of text messages between Scott Laurence and Robin Hayes from April 2020	JetBlue moves to redact the phone number of the participants in the text messages, and to redact future network planning and business strategy of how to negotiate with American. JetBlue does not move to seal historic market information.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be redacted in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of network planning and negotiating strategy will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Employee discourse; Historic. Text conversation from April 2020 regarding plans that did not come to fruition.	JetBlue has proposed narrower redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the remaining redactions.
PX0740	JBLU02627 823	JBLU02627 837	Series of text messages between Robin Hayes and Scott Laurence from April 2020	JetBlue moves to redact the phone number of the participants in the text messages, and to redact future network planning and business strategy of how to negotiate with American. JetBlue does not move to seal historic market information.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be redacted in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of network planning and negotiating strategy will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Employee discourse; Historic. Text conversation from April 2020 regarding plans that did not come to fruition. Plaintiffs do not oppose redacting airport codes and phone numbers, but do oppose Defendants' other redactions.	JetBlue has proposed narrower redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the remaining redactions.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0741	JBLU02630	JBLU02630	February 2020	JetBlue moves to	As explained in	N/A	JetBlue has
	021	088	JetBlue	redact from this	Section II.A. of the		proposed
			Presentation, "Board	presentation non-	motion, disclosure of		additional
			of Directors	stale competitively	JetBlue's corporate		redactions.
			Meeting Project	sensitive	strategy and future		Plaintiffs do not
			Exchange"	information	planning will harm		object to the
			regarding a potential	regarding JetBlue's	JetBlue's competitive		additional
			acquisition.	corporate strategy,	standing.		redactions.
				future planning and			
				granular financial	As explained in		
				data. JetBlue does	Section II.C. of the		
				not move to seal	motion, disclosure of		
				content related to	granular financial		
				historic and current	data will harm		
				market information.	JetBlue's competitive		
					standing.		
PX0743	JBLU02643	JBLU02643	Email chain	JetBlue moves to	As explained in	N/A	JetBlue has
	073	116	between Rob Land,	redact the amounts	Section II.A. of the		proposed
			Scott Laurence,	JetBlue was willing	motion, disclosure of		redactions.
			Reese Davidson,	to pay for certain	corporate strategy		Plaintiffs do not
			and Andrea Lusso,	London slots.	and network planning		object to the
			dated June 2020		will harm JetBlue's		redactions.
					competitive standing.		

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 41 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates	•	Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0818	JBLU-LIT- 01790297	JBLU-LIT- 01790303	November 2021 email thread between Dave Clark, Robin Hayes, Joanna Geraghty, Ursula Hurley, Dimpy Singh, Scott Laurence, Santiago Martello, Pete Gianvecchio, Carol Clements, Maryssa Miller, Alex Chatkewitz, Andres Barry, Sara Bogdan, Richard Johns, Jonathan Weiner, Robbie Mehoke, Sasha Barker, Jose Calado, Jayne O'Brien, and Don Uselmann from November 2021 re: 2022 Revenue Initiative Options (Recommendations) ; contains one attachment titled "Potential 2022 Revenue Initiatives vFinal.xlsx"	JetBlue moves to redact parts of the email thread in this exhibit that relate to non-stale strategic discussions about revenue initiatives. JetBlue also moves to seal the attachment to the email thread in this exhibit. The exhibit contains commercially sensitive information regarding revenue strategy, as well as granular revenue data.	As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of prospective business plans will harm JetBlue's competitive standing. As explained in Section II.C of the motion, disclosure of granular financial data will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Historic; Publicly available. This document from November 2021 includes plans that have since been publicly announced or implemented.	JetBlue has proposed narrower redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the remaining redactions.

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 42 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0823	JBLU-LIT- 02176300	JBLU-LIT- 02176303	Email thread between Dave Fintzen, Derek Klinka, and Claire Roeschke re: MGIA Prelim look, dated June 2021; contains one attachment titled "2021 NEA Data B6 Master.xlsx; 2021 NEA Summary by currency v2.xlsx; MGIP Master.xlsx"	JetBlue moves to redact portions of this email chain and the entirety of the attachment regarding granular financial data and proprietary details of the MGIA model.	As explained in Section II.C. of the motion, disclosure of granular financial information will harm JetBlue's competitive standing. As explained in Section II.E of the Motion, disclosure of information related to proprietary forecasting tools will harm American's competitive standing.	N/A	JetBlue has proposed redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the redactions.
PX0824	JBLU-LIT- 02181202	JBLU-LIT- 02181203	Email from Derek Klinka to Dave Fintzen re: MGIA Prelims thru November; contains one attachment titled "MGIA Master (Oct).xlsx"	JetBlue moves to redact portions of this email chain and the entirety of the attachment regarding granular financial data and proprietary details of the MGIA model.	As explained in Section II.C. of the motion, disclosure of granular financial information will harm JetBlue's competitive standing. As explained in Section II.E of the Motion, disclosure of information related to proprietary forecasting tools will harm American's competitive standing.	N/A	JetBlue has proposed redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the redactions.

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 43 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0843	JBLU-LIT- 03234101	JBLU-LIT- 03234123	Series of text messages between Derek Klinka, Claire Roeschke, and David Fintzen from March 2021	JetBlue moves to redact the phone numbers of the participants in the text messages. JetBlue does not move to seal the conversation.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be redacted in the interest of privacy.	N/A	JetBlue has proposed narrow additional redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the redactions.
PX0862	JBLU-LIT- 03617462	JBLU-LIT- 03617477	NEA Corporate Go- to-Market Proposal, dated April 15, 2021	American moves to redact from this exhibit, a deck used to present American's ideas about the strategies and tactics to compete for customers in the Northeast Alliance, specific information about how American is targeting corporate customers.	As explained in Section II.A., disclosure of information regarding American's strategy in targeting specific customers as part of the Northeast Alliance will allow competitors to anticipate and target those same customers using the other competitively sensitive information about the NEA offerings that have not been redacted from this presentation and other documents to gain an advantage.	Core to the NEA. This document from April 2021 describes the structure of the NEA. It is central to the topic of this litigation.	Plaintiffs do not object to narrower redactions.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0868	JBLU-LIT- 03807630	JBLU-LIT- 03807680	Email and attachment sent from Michael Quiello to Robin Hayes, Dimpy Singh, Joanna Geraghty, Scott Laurence, and Jayne O'Brien, dated September 2021. The attachment is a slide deck titled "LOPA Optimization".	JetBlue moves to seal this exhibit in its entirety since this slide deck contains information about JetBlue's business strategy and financial forecasting.	As explained in Section II.A. of the motion, disclosure of JetBlue's business strategy and financial forecasting will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	N/A	Plaintiffs do not object to the sealing of this exhibit in its entirety.
PX0928	DOT- 006142	DOT- 006178	Email from Molly Wilkinson to Todd Homan, Robert Wark, and Robert Land from July 2020 re: Fwd: DOT Final - Privilieged & Confidential; contains one attachment titled, "Garland_DOJ_Presen tationJuly_14_2020.pptx"	American moves to redact customer names in the attachment to the exhibit.	As explained in II.A. and II.D of the motion, disclosure of future plans and customer lists will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	As explained in Section II.D of the Motion, disclosure of corporate customer names will harm American's competitive standing. The customer also has a privacy interest against disclosure in this trial.	Plaintiffs do not oppose the redactions.

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates	•	Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX0938	JBLU02500 877	JBLU02500 917	Series of text messages between David Fintzen and Claire Roeschke from May 2020	JetBlue moves to redact the phone numbers of the participants in the text messages and granular financial information. JetBlue does not move to redact historic or current market information.	As explained in Section I.A. of the motion, personal phone numbers should be redacted in the interest of privacy. As explained in Section II.C. of the motion, disclosure of granular financial data will harm JetBlue's competitive standing.	Core to the NEA; Employee discourse; High- level. This document includes a high-level description of a tool used to evaluate the NEA.	JetBlue has proposed narrower redactions. Plaintiffs do not object to the remaining redactions.
PX1121	AA-NEA- 03325812	AA-NEA- 03325837	Email from Sarah Colvin to Joyce Richards, Ricardo Villareal Rodriguez, Michael Barich, Justin Dunn, Chad Schweinzger, Jeffrey Deleon, Neil Watson, Richard Sien, Cy Sonderer, Tianyi He, Brian Council, Nathanael Hankins, Stephanie Montgomery, and Timothy Lyon from March 2021 re: Domestic Partnerships RM Deck; contains one attachment titled, "Domestic Partnerships.pptx"	American moves to redact portions of this exhibit that contain sensitive, non-stale future plans and granular pricing strategy related to American's alliances.	As explained in Section II.A. and II. B of the Motion, disclosure of future plans and pricing strategy will harm American's competitive standing.	N/A	Plaintiffs do not object to proposed redactions.

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 46 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates	•	Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX1153	AA-NEA- 01396742	AA-NEA- 01396746	Standalone American Airlines document from April 2020 titled "Garland Discussion"	American moves to redact from this exhibit information regarding American's granular cost data.	As explained in Sections II.C of the Motion, disclosure of granular financial data will harm American's competitive standing.	N/A	Plaintiffs do not object to proposed redactions.
PX1154	AA-NEA- 02765668	AA-NEA- 02765760	Standalone American Airlines document from December 2021 titled "Forecast Progression"	American moves to seal the exhibit in its entirety. This email and attachment contain non-stale and competitively sensitive future network plans.	As explained in Sections II.A of the Motion, disclosure of future plans will harm American's competitive standing.	N/A	Plaintiffs do not object to sealing the exhibit in its entirety.
PX1155	AA-NEA- 03153196	AA-NEA- 03153198	Email from Patrick McNally to Joe Sottile, Chad Rachubinski, Philip Chan, and Jordan Pack from January 2022 re: 2024 Fleet POR; contains one attachment titled, "2024 Fleet Plan POR - Comments.docx"	American moves to seal the exhibit in its entirety. This email and attachment contain non-stale and competitively sensitive future network plans.	As explained in Sections II.A of the Motion, disclosure of future plans will harm American's competitive standing.	N/A	Plaintiffs do not object to sealing the exhibit in its entirety.

Case 1:21-cv-11558-LTS Document 289-1 Filed 10/27/22 Page 47 of 47

Exhibit	Starting	Ending	Description	Defendants'	Defendants' Basis	Plaintiffs' Prior	Resolution
Number	Bates	Bates		Motion To Seal	For Motion To Seal	Objection	
PX1156	B6-UADL-	B6-UADL-	Standalone JetBlue	JetBlue moves to	As explained in	N/A	JetBlue has
	00000328	00000350	presentation from	redact from this	Section II.D of the		proposed
			2011 titled "New	exhibit sensitive	Motion, disclosure of		redactions.
			Market Requests"	information	corporate customer		Plaintiffs do not
				regarding JetBlue's	names and specific		object to the
				corporate customers.	pricing terms and		redactions.
					targeted bidding		
					strategies for that		
					corporate customer		
					will harm JetBlue's		
					competitive standing.		
					The customer also		
					has a privacy interest		
					against disclosure in		
					this trial.		